**Presentation Evaluation Form**

|  |
| --- |
| **Evaluator: Diana Jean C. Tuquib**  **Presenter: Red**  **Date: 7/26**  **Topic: WCAG** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Presentation Effectiveness Criteria** | | | | |
| ***To what extent did the presentation represent the following features?*** | **Yes** | **Needs Work** | **No** | **Comments** |
| 1. Purpose communicated clearly. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Organized and easy to follow. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter exhibited a good understanding of the topic. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter was well-prepared. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter spoke clearly/effectively |  | ✓ |  |  |
| 1. Time for presentation used effectively. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Slides enhanced presentation. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter responded effectively to audience questions and comments. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presentation was done in a way that engaged audience. | ✓ |  |  |  |

1. What did you like most about the presentation?

West was good at explaining the topic.

1. What areas might you suggest for improvement not listed above?

A few people were just reading the slides

**Presentation Evaluation Form**

|  |
| --- |
| **Evaluator: Diana Jean C. Tuquib**  **Presenter: Green**  **Date: 8/2**  **Topic: Django** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Presentation Effectiveness Criteria** | | | | |
| ***To what extent did the presentation represent the following features?*** | **Yes** | **Needs Work** | **No** | **Comments** |
| 1. Purpose communicated clearly. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Organized and easy to follow. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter exhibited a good understanding of the topic. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter was well-prepared. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter spoke clearly/effectively | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Time for presentation used effectively. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Slides enhanced presentation. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter responded effectively to audience questions and comments. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presentation was done in a way that engaged the audience. | ✓ |  |  |  |

1. What did you like most about the presentation?

They presented together

1. What areas might you suggest for improvement not listed above?

It would have been better if they ran an application instead of just showing screenshots.

**Presentation Evaluation Form**

|  |
| --- |
| **Evaluator: Diana Jean C. Tuquib**  **Presenter: Orange**  **Date: 8/2**  **Topic: Responsive Design** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Presentation Effectiveness Criteria** | | | | |
| ***To what extent did the presentation represent the following features?*** | **Yes** | **Needs Work** | **No** | **Comments** |
| 1. Purpose communicated clearly. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Organized and easy to follow. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter exhibited a good understanding of the topic. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter was well-prepared. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter spoke clearly/effectively | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Time for presentation used effectively. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Slides enhanced presentation. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter responded effectively to audience questions and comments. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presentation was done in a way that engaged the audience. | ✓ |  |  |  |

1. What did you like most about the presentation?

Daniel and Zixuan weren’t reading the presentation

1. What areas might you suggest for improvement not listed above?

Other groupmates just read the PowerPoint slides

**Presentation Evaluation Form**

|  |
| --- |
| **Evaluator: Diana Jean C. Tuquib**  **Presenter: Yellow**  **Date: 7/26**  **Topic: MongoDB** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Presentation Effectiveness Criteria** | | | | |
| ***To what extent did the presentation represent the following features?*** | **Yes** | **Needs Work** | **No** | **Comments** |
| 1. Purpose communicated clearly. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Organized and easy to follow. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter exhibited a good understanding of the topic. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter was well-prepared. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter spoke clearly/effectively | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Time for presentation used effectively. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Slides enhanced presentation. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presenter responded effectively to audience questions and comments. | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 1. Presentation was done in a way that engaged the audience. | ✓ |  |  |  |

1. What did you like most about the presentation?
2. What areas might you suggest for improvement not listed above?